

balanced budget by keeping our promises, promises to eliminate wasteful spending, to evolve programs to the States and control growth of entitlements and provide taxpayers with some badly needed relief.

First, this resolution trims the fat off of the Government and does so by eliminating unnecessary agencies, consolidating duplicative programs and privatizing those functions that are better served by the private sector.

The resolution includes the elimination of almost 150 departments, administrations, agencies, commissions, committees, boards and councils—everything from the Board of Tea Experts to the Department of Commerce. It also assumes the privatization of entities like the naval petroleum reserve and the Uranium Enrichment Corporation and the Alaska Power Marketing Administration, all of which provides services which are better left to the private sector.

Finally, this resolution consolidates duplicative programs to make the Government less cumbersome and more efficient. And all these reforms save the American taxpayer \$190 billion over the next 7 years.

This budget also devolves powers to State and local governments. During my campaign I promised the people of Michigan to return the operation of various Government functions back to the State, where Governor Engler and our legislature are out front on important issues like reforming welfare, Medicaid and education. I know Governors from other States are equally as innovative.

This budget takes advantage of the tremendous talent outside the beltway by utilizing block grants to replace the hundreds of Federal welfare, housing and education programs. These block grants, which in many committees are already moving forward on a bipartisan basis, will provide the Governors with the resources and the freedom they need to carry out such reforms.

Another promise I made to the people in Michigan was to work to control the growth of Federal entitlement programs. The need for this reform was made apparent in February when the Medicare trustees announced the trust fund will be insolvent 7 years from now. The trustees concluded that the HI program is severely out of financial balance and that the trustees believe that the Congress must take timely action to establish long-term financial stability for the program. This budget embraces this call to act by addressing both the short- and the long-term insolvency of Medicare programs.

First, it allows Medicare to continue to grow at a 6.4 percent rate per year. This reform enables Medicare to pass the trustee short-term solvency test while still growing at twice the rate of inflation.

Second, the resolution includes a call for a special commission to address the long-term stability questions facing Medicare and to advise Congress on

how to keep Medicare's promise for future generations. President Clinton's most recent budget endorses this approach by advocating similar reforms.

Now, we have heard a lot during the debate on this budget when it first came before us, and we heard already today, and I am sure tomorrow we will hear issues raised as to whether or not we should do these things with regard to entitlement programs and Medicare in particular, whether or not we can limit the growth to twice the rate of inflation. And the claims will be made that this is impossible to do simply because, if we did this at the current rate of growth, the current rate of inflation in health care programs, it will have this, that or the other effect. All these horror stories we heard suggests it is impossible to change any system in this country.

That is certainly not the case, at least based on the recent evidence we have seen in the health care area. What we have seen is that in the private sector the inflationary health care has been dramatically reduced as corporate America, small business America, as families in America have addressed these growth problems by finding innovative ways to deal with health care and health insurance costs, by engaging in more preventive medicine and joining managed care facilities, by finding other alternatives to simply assuming that the rate of inflation can never change. I think it can. I think on a bipartisan basis we can, while providing the same level of service, limit the rate of growth of Medicare to the types of percentage that are contained in this budget resolution.

Another central promise of my campaign was to fight for tax relief for America's families and businesses. Federal, State, and local taxes today combine to take almost 40 percent of every American's dollar that they earn. The tax burden on American families has increased by 300 percent over the past 40 years. Our Tax Code is excessive and it is often arbitrary and too often it chokes innovation and job creation.

In my campaign, I promised the people of Michigan to support much-needed tax relief, like the \$500 per child family tax credit, which we have talked about already and will continue to discuss in this body. This budget delivers on those promises by providing \$245 billion in relief over the next 7 years. Under this resolution when spending has been cut and a balanced budget is ensured, \$245 billion is made available to the Finance Committee for legislation providing family tax relief and incentives to stimulate savings and investment. And we need those incentives. Recent economic indicators suggest the economy may be slowing down. If slower growth is on the horizon, then we need to do more than just focus on spending. Slower economic growth endangers our common goal of a balanced budget in the year 2002. According to the OMB a 1-percent slower economic growth rate translates into

\$150 billion in higher deficits over the next 5 years. By including real incentives for investment and savings, we can help stimulate the economy and ensure that revenues keep pace with projections.

A good example of how this can work, I think, was embodied in Jack Kemp's original enterprise zone proposal. In these zones lower taxes on capital would encourage businesses and employers to go into economically depressed areas, spurring economic growth and job creation. The primary benefits of these zones go to the residents of the zones themselves as their neighborhood is given a much-needed boost. And within the next few weeks I plan to introduce a bill that would supercharge the current empowerment zones with powerful savings and investment tax incentives such as those that have been previously outlined in enterprise zone bills to try to create that kind of job creation.

By including a tax cut in the budget, we are opening the door for tax reforms like enterprise zones, family tax credits, and other incentives for savings and investment. These tax cuts in turn will increase—grow, create jobs, improve savings and ultimately improve the standard of living for most Americans. I intend to work with the Finance Committee to provide Americans with a profamily, progrowth tax cut this year.

Madam President, 2 weeks ago Bill Clinton sent to Congress a proposal that embraces the central themes of this Republican budget. It cuts spending. It limits the growth of entitlements, and it provides Americans with relief from excessive Federal taxes. In short and in many ways, the President's budget alternative vindicates Republican efforts to balance the budget. While the plan falls short of its goals, which has been quantified by the Congressional Budget Office, I still think it is a good start in the right direction. I also hope that the President now will support other Republican efforts to create jobs and strengthen our economy, and I look forward to working with the administration to do so.

Madam President, this budget resolution takes a historic step toward balancing the budget by slowing the growth of Government and returning power to the States. It is a credit to Senator DOMENICI and to the members of the Budget Committee and to the leadership, I think, that we have set this goal and stuck with it.

As is the case, I know, with the President and many others in this Chamber, there are parts of this budget resolution that I wish were different. There is an area, for example, in the student loan area where I wish it were different, closer to something that I had worked out before.

But I think it does an extraordinarily good job of ordering priorities and reaching the commonly held objective of bringing the budget into balance,